



Complaints Policy (Exams)

Chauncy

Complaints Policy (Exams)

Centre name	Chauncy
Centre number	17263
Date policy first created	04/03/2024
Current policy approved by	Board of Governors
Current policy reviewed by	Steve Walton
Date of review	06/03/2025
Date of next review	27/03/2026

Key staff involved in the policy

Role	Name
Head of centre	Steve Walton
Senior leader(s)	Sonali Lariko
Exams officer	Jaqui Meli
Other staff (if applicable)	Lucy Saxon SENCO

This policy is reviewed and updated annually to ensure that any complaints at Chauncy are managed in accordance with current requirements and regulations.

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy is to confirm the arrangements for complaints at Chauncy and confirms compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (5.3, 5.8) in drawing to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers our written complaints policy which covers general complaints regarding the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification and our internal appeals procedure.

Grounds for complaint

A candidate (or their/parent/carer) at Chauncy may make a complaint on the grounds below (This is not an exhaustive list).

Teaching and Learning

- Non-subject specialist teacher without adequate training/subject matter expertise utilised on a long-term basis
- Teacher lacking knowledge of new specification/incorrect core content studied/taught
- Core content not adequately covered
- Inadequate feedback for a candidate following assessment(s)
- Pre-release/advance material/set task issued by the awarding body not provided on time to an examination candidate
- The taking of an assessment, which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not conducted according to the JCQ/awarding body instructions
- Candidate not informed of their centre assessed mark prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not informed of their centre assessed mark in sufficient time to request/appeal a review of marking prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not given sufficient time to review materials to make a decision whether to request a review of the centre assessed mark
- Candidate unhappy with internal assessment decision (complainant to refer to the centre's **internal appeals procedure**)
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure

Additional grounds for complaint relating to teaching and learning:

Access arrangements and special consideration

- Candidate not assessed by the centre's appointed assessor
- Candidate not involved in decisions made regarding their access arrangements
- Candidate did not consent to record their personal data online (by the non-acquisition of a completed **candidate personal data consent form**)
- Candidate not informed/adequately informed of the arrangement(s) in place and the subjects or components of subjects where the arrangement(s) would not apply
- Examination information not appropriately adapted for a disabled candidate to access it
- n/a

- Approved access arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an examination/assessment
- Appropriate arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an examination/assessment as a consequence of a temporary injury or impairment
- Candidate unhappy with centre decision relating to access arrangements or special consideration (complainant to refer to the centre's **internal appeals procedure**)
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure

Additional grounds for complaint relating to access arrangements:

Entries

- n/a
- n/a
- Candidate entered for a wrong examination/assessment
- n/a

Additional grounds for complaint relating to examination entries:

Conducting examinations

- Failure to adequately brief candidate on examination timetable/regulations prior to examination/assessment taking place
- Room in which assessment held did not provide candidate with appropriate conditions for taking the examination
- Inadequate invigilation in examination room
- Failure to conduct the examination according to the regulations
- N/A
- Disruption during the examination/assessment
- Alleged, suspected or actual malpractice incident not investigated/reported
- n/a

Additional grounds for complaint relating to the conducting of examinations:

Results and Post-Results

- Before examinations, candidate not made aware of the arrangements for post-results services and the availability of senior members of centre staff after the publication of results
- Candidate not having access to a member of senior staff after the publication of results to discuss/make a decision on the submission of a results review/enquiry
- Candidate request for return of work after moderation and work not available/disposed of earlier than allowed in the regulations

- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a result (complainant to refer via exams officer to awarding body **post-results services**)
- Candidate (or parent/carer) unhappy with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal (complainant to refer to the centre's **internal appeals procedure**)
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure
- Centre applied for the wrong post-results service/for the wrong script for a candidate
- Centre missed awarding body deadline to apply for a post-results service
- Centre applied for a post-results service for a candidate without gaining required candidate consent/permission

Additional grounds for complaint relating to results and post-results:

Raising a concern/complaint

If a candidate (or parent/carer) has a general concern or complaint about the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification, Chauncy encourages an informal resolution in the first instance.

This can be undertaken by:

- raising the concern with the Head of Centre by email or telephone.

If a concern or complaint fails to be resolved informally, the candidate (or parent/carer) is then at liberty to make a formal complaint.

How to make a formal complaint

All documentation relating to the submission of a formal complaint is available from, and should be returned to:

- Steve Walton- Head of Centre

Formal complaints will be logged and acknowledged within:

- 7 working days

To make a formal complaint, candidates (or parents/carers) must:

- complete and return a complaints form

How a formal complaint is investigated

The Head of Centre will designate an appropriate member of senior staff (who has not been directly involved with the matter under enquiry) to investigate and to report back with their findings.

The findings and conclusion of any investigation will be provided to the complainant within:

- 28 working days

Internal appeals procedure

Following the outcome, if the complainant remains dissatisfied and believes there are clear grounds, an appeal can be submitted.

To submit an appeal, candidates (or parents/carers) must:

- complete and return the internal appeals form

Appeals will be logged and acknowledged within:

- 10 working days

The appeal will be referred to:

- The Head of Centre

It will be the responsibility of the Head of Centre to inform the appellant of the final conclusion in accordance with the internal appeals procedure.

Additional details on the internal appeals process:

Changes 2024/2025

(Changed) Under heading **Purpose of the policy:** (From) The purpose of this policy is to confirm the arrangements for complaints at Chauncy and confirms compliance with JCQ's General Regulations for Approved Centres (sections 5.3, 5.8) in drawing to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers its written complaints policy which covers general complaints regarding the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification. (To) The purpose of this policy is to confirm the arrangements for complaints at Chauncy and confirms compliance with JCQ's General Regulations for Approved Centres (5.3, 5.8) in drawing to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers our written complaints policy which covers general complaints regarding the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification and our internal appeals procedure.

Centre-specific changes